

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/541,426	04/03/2000	Kyeong Jin Kim	8733.20102	4200	
30827	7590 08/1	4/2002			
MCKENNA	LONG & ALD	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1900 K STRI		NGUYEN	NGUYEN, DUNG T		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
	ζ		DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	2	
	ኒ				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı		
,		٨
		IA.

Application No. 09/541,426

Applicant(s)

Kim et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner
Dung Nguyen

Art Unit **2871**

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period 1	for Reply							
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
mailing	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SI e application to	X (6) MOi become A	NTHS from	n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 29, 2	2002			•			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This action	ion is non-f	inal.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u>				_ is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u>				is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	-1			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims		are su	bject to	restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) acce	epted c	or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) b	e held i	n abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		_ is: a)	□ ар	proved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Offic	e actior	١.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority unde	r 35 U	.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been rec	eived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been rec	eived iı	n Appli	cation No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Ru	ile 17.2	2(a)).	-			
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the							
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:								
	•							

Art Unit: 2871

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments dated 05/29/2002 have been received and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-22, 24, 26-33, 35-50, 52 and 54-56 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koma, US Patent No. 5,608,556, in view of Auman et al., US Patent No. 6,139,926, as stated in the previous office action.
- 3. Claims 6 and 34 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koma, US Patent No. 5,608,556, in view of Auman et al., US Patent No. 6,139,926, further in view of Applicant's submitted prior art, Koma et al., a stated in the previous office action.
- 4. Claims 23 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koma, US Patent No. 5,608,556, in view of Auman et al., US Patent No. 6,139,926, further in view of Bos et al., US Patent No. 6,141,074, as stated in the previous office action.
- 5. Claims 51 and 53 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koma, US Patent No. 5,608,556, in view of Auman et al., US Patent No. 6,139,926, further in view of Van De Witte, US Patent No. 5,936,692 a stated in the previous office action.

Regarding claims 1 and 29, in response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by

...

Application/Control Number: 09/541,426

Art Unit: 2871

there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, although Koma disclose that a potential difference from the display electrode is applied to the orientation control electrode for controlling the orientation of the liquid crystal, Koma does disclose that a "vertical orientation as a surface orientation treatment" (emphasis added) (col. 6, line 12). In other words, the Koma's alignment layer would be treated (e.g., irradiating light over the alignment surface) to form a pretilt angle for vertical orientation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Koma by subjecting the orientation film to the photo alignment as shown by Auman et al.

In addition, Applicants contend that none of the cited references teaches or suggest a negative uniaxial or two axial/biaxial film as recited in the claimed invention (response, page 3). As noted in the previous office action, such feature is well known in the art. For convenience, the Examiner herein encloses the Kim et al. reference (US 6,335,776) which disclose a compensation film may be a negative uniaxial film can be formed over at least one substrate of an LCD device (see figure 20A) for increasing contrast in an LCD device.

Accordingly, the rejection of claims 1-56 stand.

Application/Control Number: 09/541,426 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/541,426 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-7730.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 08/08/2002

William L. Sikes
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 2871